0	furt	148	E	VATORATIO DE CONTINUE DE LA CONTINUE DE
	BIL	LNL	MBER	

DO NOT PASS

GENERAL FACT SHEET

FACT SHEET				
BRIEF TITLE	APPROVAL DEADLINE REASON			
Dissolution of the Community Development Task Force				
	KARONINI III.	ANNO PROPERTY AND		
DETAILS		POSITIONS/RECOM	MMENDATIONS	
Reason for Legislation	n-constant and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a	Sponsor	Urban Development	
During FY 2006, then Mayor Coleen J. Seng., dissolved the Community Development Task Force (CDTF), a 25-member advisory group appointed by the Mayor.		Programs, Departments, or Groups Affected	Urban Development	
		Applicants/ Proponents	Applicant City of Lincoln City Department Urban Development Department Other	
Discussion (Including Relationship to oth Council Actions) The primary duties of the Community Task For Annual Action Plan and the annual Consolidate and Evaluation Report (CAPER), the Five-Year must be submitted to HUD and fund transfers.	ce were to approve the d Annual Performance Strategic Plan, which	Opponents	Groups or Individuals Basis of Opposition	
Due to changes in HUD requirements, it was felt there was not enough on-going activities for the Task Force members and attendance, especially when a quorum was necessary, had become a struggle. It was also the belief of Urban Development that broader participation could be achieved by expanding beyond the CDTF. Avenues for exploration include such activities as announcements at the Mayor's Neighborhood Roundtable or other community groups, use of the Internet, community/public open houses, and forming special task forces on an as-needed basis. Additionally, the CDTF was a local creation and not required by HUD. HUD requires citizen participation but leaves it up to the jurisdiction to decide how public input is solicited. This will provide a new opportunity to broaden input, a direction which HUD has been encouraging.		Staff Recommendation	For Against Reason Against	
		Board or Commission Recommendation City County Building Commission CITY COUNCIL ACTIONS (FOR COUNCIL USE ONLY)	□ For □ Against X No Action Taken □ For with revisions or conditions (See Details column for conditions) □ PASS □ PASS (AS AMENDED) □ COUNCIL SUB. □ WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION	
	TOPPENSUHUNDUMUNDOO COMPUNDATION COMPUNDATIO		T HOLD	

DETAILS	POLICY / PROGRAM IMPACT		
	POLICY OR PROGRAM CHANGE	X NO YES	
	OPERATIONAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT		
	COST AND REVENUE PROJECTIONS	COST of total project COST of this ordinance/resolution \$ RELATED annual operating cost \$ INCREASED REVENUE EXPECTED / YEAR	
	SOURCE OF FUNDS General Fund and Federal Funds	CITY	
	BENEFIT COST / Front Foot Square Foot Per \$100 valuat	Average Assessment Not Apply tion	
APPLICABLE DATES:	(Use This Space)	For Further Discussion, If Necessary)	
FACT SHEET PREPARED BY: Lithan Development			

REVIEWED BY:

REFERENCE NUMBERS: